

The path towards decentralized moderation

The challenge with moderation in digital communication is that disrupting communication often scales better than individual blocking.

In [Hyphanet / the original Freenet](#) (where centralized moderation is simply no option) the answer was to propagate blocking between users in a transparent way. That way blocking disruptors scales better than disrupting. This enables individuals to actually take responsibility of protecting their communities from disruption.

What to protect against? See the [Zen of Tolerance](#).

For more information see: [The Freenet Web of Trust keeps communication friendly with actual anonymity](#).

If you want to try adding this to an existing tool, there are three steps:

- Check the current state in Hyphanet: [OadSFfF-version1.2-non-print-edition.pdf](#)
- Understand the optimizations needed so this scales to arbitrary size: [deterministic-load-decentralized-spam-filter](#)
- Integrate the generic wispwot service and extend it as needed: hg.sr.ht/~arnebab/wispwot

Also here's some data of the real world communication structure within Hyphanet that should be useful if you want to test algorithms: [The_Freenet_social_trust_graph_extracted_from_the](#)

(wispwot is built in a way that is suitable for federation, because it can work with a shared database that only has different entry points to get your personal view of the trust-graph. But it isn't widely tested yet)

I hope this helps you tackle these issues, because global-scale moderation without centralized control is one of the huge tasks ahead of us — a task that was mostly ignored in the Clearnet (there were underpaid moderators to burn out after all) but tackled within the Freenet more than a decade ago.

I wrote this as a reply to an [article about decentralized moderation](#).